IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE C.Y.SOMAYAJULU

WRIT PETITION NO: 2097 of 2005

Between:

M/s. Dandamudi Enclave welfare Association, Regd. No. 618/03,

Pet Basheerabad, Jeedimetla.
Ranga Reddy District, Rep. by its General Secretary, Ravula

Srinivas S/o. Late R.K. Naidu, aged about 38 years, R/o. # 402,

Mounika, Lakshmi Apartments, TRT 225, VIdyanagar, Hyderabad.

... PETITIONER

AND

1 Hyderabad Urban Developments Authority, rep. by its Vice-

Chairman, Paigah Bhavan, HUDA complex, Begampet, Hyderabad.
2 Dandamudi Avanaidra Kumar S/o. Papa Rao,
R/o. Flat No. 88, Gunrock Enclave, Secunderabad.
3 T. Ashok Kumar Goud S/o. Sainna Goud,
R/o. H.No. 11-1-32/A/9, Leela Nagar, Ameerpet, Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ or order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 1st respondent in allowing the 2 & 3 respondents on convert the places reserved for parks and amenities in the approved plan as residential plots and to sell the same to the gullible, innocent public and

releasing the mortgaged plots in it without the development works such as providing full electrical connections, drinking water facility, providing street lighting is illegal, arbitrary, malafide, unjust, against the statutory provisions and in utter dis-regard of statutory prohibitions and against the principles of nature justice and to consequently direct the 1st respondent not to permit the 2nd and 3rd respondents to convert the places earmarked for parks and amenities into residential plots or to sell it to the gullible public and to withdraw the release of mortgaged plots and also the place reserved for amenities without completing the statutory development works such as drinking water, street lights, electrification and other amenities as provided under the HUDA regulations.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.K.SARVA BHOUMA RAO
Counsel for Respondents: MR.B.VISWANATHA REDDY

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

In view of the letter dated 27-04-2005 of the learned counsel for the petitioner addressed to the Registrar (Judicial), the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn. No costs.

C.Y. SOMAYAJULU, J

April 28, 2005

MRR

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER
Copy to. –

1 The Vice Chairman, Hyderabad Urban Developments Authority, Paigah

Bhavan, HUDA complex, Begampet, Hyderabad.

Two C.D. Copies.

*Form-NIC-OGS/WP {**VSMI**}*